



# Development of a framework for the evaluation and prioritization of food and feed safety hazards and related research needs

M. Mühlemann

Agroscope, Switzerland

Marc.Muehlemann@agroscope.admin.ch



*Special Session on FOOD SAFETY*





# Contents

- Aim
- Problems to resolve
- Proceeding
  - Relevance for human health
  - Other scientific criteria
  - Criteria related to the perception of risk
- The user friendly interface
- Listing and automatic ranking of data sets



# Aims

- Prioritization of the different classes of hazards: (micro-) biological, chemical, physical, and nutritional, in foods and feeds
- Prioritization of related research needs
- Simple, fast and easy to use - Tool
- No need to perform complete scientific risk assessment
- Include typical local and national conditions



# Problems

- Chemical risks have acute and long term end points
- (Micro-) biological risk estimates concerning illnesses and deaths differ widely
- Comparison of (micro-)biological and chemical risks
- Widely differing estimates for deficient or excessive food intake and imbalanced diet



# Proceeding

- Prioritizations are applied to triplets formed by:  
Product matrix (food or feed), its processing (with possible change of hazard, the respective hazard
- A basis of measurable descriptors was found either as:

DALYs (Disability Adjusted Life Years)

or

Illness and death cases in a population,

respectively

Economic cost estimates resulting thereof



# Literature (measurable descriptors):

- van Kreijl C.F., Knaap A.G.A.C. and Raaij J.M.A. (Editors-in-Chief). Our food, our health. Healthy diet and safe food in the Netherlands. rivm (national institute for public health and the environment). RIVM report number 270555009, ISBN 90-6960-135-4, ISBN 978-90-6960-135-9, NUR 882. 2006 (available at <http://www.rivm.nl/bibliotheek/rapporten/270555009.pdf> ).
- Siebert. B.D. Natural chemicals and food safety. CSIRO Division of Human Nutrition at the regional Institute Inc., Australia, Glenthorne Laboratory. O'Halloran Hill, SA 5158. 1992 (available at <http://www.regional.org.au/au/roc/1992/roc1992055.htm> ) .
- Mead P.S. et al. Food-Related Illness and Death in the United States. Emerging Infectious Diseases Vol. 5, No. 5, 1999 (available at <http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/eid/Vol5no5/mead.htm> ).



# Relevance for human health- by far the most important criterion

- The incidence and severity of adverse health effects must show up within the “relevance for human health”- criteria

| Hazard   | Netherlands, DALYs based factors | USA/Australia, Fatalities/costs based factors |
|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Unfavorable dietary composition and overweight   | 1                                | 1   |
| (micro-) biological agents   | 0.0025 – 0.01                    | 0.05 - 0.3                                    |
| Chemical contamination: pesticides, naturally occurring chemical compounds, toxins or contaminants including allergens | 0.00375 – 0.005                  |   |
| Allergens alone  | 0.0025                           |   |
| Environmental chemicals or natural toxicants   | 0.00125 – 0.0025                 | 0.001   |
| Pesticide residues or food additives   |                                  | 0.00001                                       |

**Table 1:** Criterion *Relevance for human health* of different food borne hazards at the example of the Netherlands (based on DALYs) and the Example of USA and Australia (based on fatalities and costs).

- spreads the different hazards up to ten-thousand fold



# User friendly tool-interface

**1 Human Health & 6 Exposure Criteria**

**Other Legitimate Criteria: relevant for value chain**

**Product x Hazard x Processing -> FLEXIBILITY**

|  |  |             |  |
|--|--|-------------|--|
| Date   | 21.01.2013   |             |  |
| Assessor   | mum  |             |  |
| Product  | Raw milk   |             |  |
| Contaminant  | EHEC 0157  |             |  |
| Intended use / processing                                | Production of raw milk cheese                              |             |  |
| Factor   |  | Weighting   |  |
| Quality of agent   | microbiological  |             |  |
| Agent impact on human health                             | Microbial contaminants                                     | 0.01        |  |
| Dissemination of hazard / biological and chemical agents | high impact (virulence or infectivity) in human and animal | 5           |  |
| Entry to food chain                                      | farm & processing  | 2           |  |
| Importance of food / feed ingestion                      | normal food/feed, weekly to monthly consumption            | 2           | low infection dose                                     |
| Changes due to food processing                           | basic food/feed, daily to weekly consumption               | 0.1         |  |
|  | normal food/feed, weekly to monthly consumption            |             |  |
|  | speciality, monthly to yearly consumption                  |             |  |
| Expansion of hazard / risk                               | international (import / export)                            | 3           | substantial amount exported                            |
| Regulatory concern and internal status                   | ongoing (inter-) national cooperation                      | 3           |  |
|  |  | 0.18        |  |
| <b>Other legitimate criteria</b>                         |  |             |  |
| media interest (extrapolation)                           | medium, normal information                                 | 2           | no cases of illness known                              |
| consumer control of hazard                               | no   | 3           |  |
| familiarity of specialists with hazard                   | basic knowledge, medium need for research                  | 2           | research needed for optimisation of production process |
| affected groups of population                            | all, entire population                                     | 3           |  |
|  |  | 36          |  |
| <b>Total priority points TPP</b>                         |  | <b>6.48</b> |  |
|  |  |             | <b>Rating transfer in data base</b>                    |

**For final rating, sub-criteria are given a numerical value according to the respective importance. These values are multiplied to the final rating value, which is automatically classified by colors**



# Type of agent defines the impact on human health

The chosen type of agent

chemical

- chemical
- microbiological
- nutritional imbalance
- physical
- unknown

defines the set of criteria describing the resulting impact on human health:

Natural toxins

- Food / Feed additives
- natural / artificial Contaminants (environmental, chemical or food)
- Natural toxins
- Pesticide residues / Chemical contaminants
- unwanted acute highly hazardous pesticide / chemical
- unwanted acute moderately hazardous pesticide / chemical

Microbial contaminants

- allergene
- Microbial contaminants

Nutritional Imbalance (minor food ingredient)

- Nutritional Imbalance (excess or deficiency) of major food component
- Nutritional Imbalance (minor food ingredient)
- allergene

radionuclides (t1/2 < 1 month)

- radionuclides (t1/2 < 1 month)
- radionuclides (t1/2 >> 1 month)

unknown

- unknown



# The other scientific criteria (I)

- The other scientific criteria together modulate from a minimal reduction factor of 0.1 to a maximal multiplication factor of 3240.

- **Quality of agent:**

might be used to switch on or off the appropriate criteria selection for separate food and feed hazard prioritization.

- **Type of agent:**

if the hazard is of chemical, microbiological, physical or nutritional nature, selects the appropriate sets of sub-criteria for the selected type of hazard.

- **Dissemination:**

describes the agent`s capacity of reaching living beings (humans, animals).



# The other scientific criteria (II)

| <b>Criterion</b>                    | <b>Sub-criteria</b>                             |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Entry to food chain                 | Retail and consumer                             |
|                                     | Farm and processing                             |
|                                     | Environment and field                           |
| Importance of food / feed ingestion | Basic food/feed, daily to weekly consumption    |
|                                     | Normal food/feed, weekly to monthly consumption |
|                                     | Speciality, monthly to yearly consumption       |
| Changes due to food processing      | Accumulation                                    |
|                                     | Unknown   |
|                                     | No change                                       |
|                                     | Reduction                                       |
| Spread of the hazard                | International (import/export)                   |
|                                     | National  |
|                                     | Local   |
| Control options                     | New and not regulated                           |
|                                     | Known but not regulated                         |
|                                     | Known and regulated                             |



# Explanation (Exposition)

- **Entry to the food chain:**

assumes contaminations to be more hazardous when present in the food or feed near consumption because there remains less possibility for its detection and minimization.

- **Importance of food or feed ingestion:**

associates the probability of ingestion with the ingested amount. The criteria changes due to food processing informs about the amount of hazard expected in the final product.

- **Spread of the hazard:**

defines the area of influence (local, national, import/export).

- **known and regulated (and controlled) hazards:**

- imply a reduction of hazards present in foods and feeds towards acceptable levels.



# The criteria related to the perception of risk

- The 4 criteria related to the perception of risk modulate a maximal multiplication factor of 72

| Criterion                         | Sub-criteria   |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Media interest<br>(extrapolation) | Headline coverage (i.e. due to fraud, political debate, scandal) |
|                                   | Little or medium coverage  |
|                                   | No coverage  |
| Consumer control over hazard      | no   |
|                                   | yes  |
| Data gaps                         | No knowledge and high research need                              |
|                                   | Basic knowledge and medium research need                         |
|                                   | Good knowledge and no research need                              |
| Affected persons                  | General population   |
|                                   | Subpopulations (YOPI)*   |
|                                   | Sensitive individuals  |

Thus, the factors separating the principal categories of food hazards may be passed or changed.

# Explanation (other legitimate criteria)

- **Risk communication learnt us:** factors different to science influence the perception of risk
- **Perception of risk depend on:**  
individual risk estimations and evaluations
- **Media coverage:**  
appreciation when trying to avoid scandals e.g. due to fraud or insufficient controls and when trying to avoid loss of trust
- **Consumers may show increased risk tolerance:**  
when they exert personal control over a risk, when the risk is voluntarily taken, when it is familiar or when there exists institutional control by a confident institution
- It is important, how many and what persons are affected: i.e. **appealing persons like children or mothers** (Lit:Renn,O.Univ.Stuttgart,2008)



# Examples: microbiological agent (I)

| Date   | 21.01.2013   |             |  |
|--|--|-------------|--|
| Assessor   | mum  |             |  |
| Product  | Raw milk   |             |  |
| Contaminant  | EHEC 0157  |             |  |
| Intended use / processing                                | Production of raw milk cheese  |             |  |
| <a href="#">Clear contents</a>                           |  |             |  |
| Factor   |  | Weighting   | Comments, remarks                                      |
| Quality of agent   | microbiological  |             |  |
| Agent impact on human health                             | Microbial contaminants   | 0.01        |  |
| Dissemination of hazard / biological and chemical agents | high impact (virulence or infectivity) in human and animal   | 5           |  |
| Entry to food chain                                      | farm & processing  | 2           |  |
| Importance of food / feed ingestion                      | normal food/feed, weekly to monthly consumption  | 2           | low infection dose                                     |
| Changes due to food processing                           | basic food/feed, daily to weekly consumption<br>normal food/feed, weekly to monthly consumption<br>speciality, monthly to yearly consumption | 0.1         |  |
| Expansion of hazard / risk                               | international (import / export)  | 3           | substantial amount exported                            |
| Regulatory concern and internal status                   | ongoing (inter-) national cooperation  | 3           |  |
|  |  | 0.18        |  |
| <b>Other legitimate criteria</b>                         |  |             |  |
| media interest (extrapolation)                           | medium, normal information   | 2           | no cases of illness known                              |
| consumer control of hazard                               | no   | 3           |  |
| familiarity of specialists with hazard                   | basic knowledge, medium need for research  | 2           | research needed for optimisation of production process |
| affected groups of population                            | all, entire population   | 3           |  |
|  |  | 36          |  |
| <b>Total priority points TPP</b>                         |  | <b>6.48</b> | <a href="#">Rating transfer in data base</a>           |



# Listing and ranking of input sets

| Ranking | TPP   | scientific factor | Factor "Other legitimate criteria" | Product  | Contaminant  | Intended use / processing     | Assessor | Date of ranking |
|---------|-------|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| 3       | 4.320 | 0.2400            | 18                                 | Flour    | Aflatoxin B1 | Production of bread           | test     | 16.07.2012      |
| 1       | 6.480 | 0.1800            | 36                                 | Raw milk | EHEC 0157    | Production of raw milk cheese | mum      | 21.01.2013      |
| 2       | 5.830 | 0.2160            | 27                                 | Milk     | Aflatoxin M1 | Production of cheese          | BLK      | 04.02.2013      |

- Data records are automatically ranked after insertion into data base
- Optical discrimination of ranking by color gradient
- Indicator function for fraud





# Further development

- Criteria dealing with both, food and feed hazards, should be separated
- Normalization of sub-criteria
- Separate weighting of problematic constellations
- Incorporation of existing data on food and feed contamination incidence
- Define and discriminate uncertainty
- Validation
- Computation of tool / data base in Access

# Development of a framework for the evaluation and prioritization of food and feed safety hazards and related research needs

*Goodbye everybody!*