

NIBIO

NORWEGIAN INSTITUTE OF **BIOECONOMY RESEARCH**

Optimizing manure application rate to grass sward ground coverage before and after the winter season

Victor Rueda-Ayala¹, El Houssein Chouaib Harik², Tomas Persson² ^{1.} Weed Science in Field Crops, Agroscope, Route de Duillier 60, Nyon, 1260, Switzerland ^{2.} NIBIO Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research, Postboks 11, NO-1431 Ås, Norway

Introduction

- Manure spreading often results in nutrient losses.
- Harsh winter conditions cause uneven sward coverage.
- Grasision® was developed to estimate

Results and discussion

- ✓ Yield at 1st cut was higher on even N levels than on site-specific ones.
- ✓ At 2nd cut, only the high N level yield was higher than the others.

✓ N use efficiency similar for site-

Conclusion

Adjusting site-specific N fertilization based on plant coverage before the start of the growing season, produced acceptable yields and N use efficiency. However, to determine the environmental effects, long-term evaluation is needed. Forage quality and total manure applied should also

the coverage of living and dead plants, and bare soil from color images of grasslands.

Aim

Comparing yield and nitrogen use efficiency of perennial grasslands fertilized either evenly, across the whole field or site-specifically, based on plant coverage.



Overview of the experiment, NIBIO Særheim research station, southwestern Norway

- specific and even fertilizer treatments.
- ✓ High even fertilization produced a higher N agronomic efficiency than the site-specific treatment based on images in October.
- A long drought early in the growing season and large amounts of rainfall later affected the yield.
- \checkmark Nutritive forage value is being analyzed.





Manure application on April 5th, 2023.



Materials and methods

- Field unevenness was boosted by rotary harrowing or mowing with a low stubble hight in autumn 2022.
- Plant coverage was determined from UAV images in autumn and spring.
- Constant N and plant cover based N fertilizer regimes were compared.

Average DM forage yield (t ha⁻¹) per fertilization treatment. Letters indicate differences between the Tukey HSD adjusted marginal means. Lowercase letters represent cut 1; Uppercase cut 2.

- Approximately 40 % of the N was provided from manure, the rest from mineral fertilizer.
- Three forage cuts.

Average calculated Agronomic efficiency index per fertilization treatment. Letters indicate differences between the Tukey HSD adjusted marginal means. Lowercase letters represent cut 1; Uppercase cut 2.

- Biomass yield was determined after drying at 60°C for 48 hours.
- Agronomic Efficiency $AE = (Yield_{t} - Yield_{0}) / Fertilizer N$







Living plant coverage on Oct 31, 2022, (left panel) and Feb 28, 2023, (middle panel), and suppression levels and fertilizing regimes (right panel). Slurry was applied on April 5, 2023, and after the 1st cut on June 8, 2023.

nibio.no

PO Box 115, N-1431 Ås, Norway +47 406 04 100

Acknowledgement

This project was funded by the Norwegian Agriculture Agency through Climate and Environment Programme (project number 2021/39760, Agros 163320).

Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra

Département fédéral de l'économie, de la formation et de la recherche DEFR Agroscope