

Things to know

The cultivar FORMICA has been systematically selected for a reduced content of formonenetin. This substance is supposed to be responsible for the oestrogenic effect of red clover on ruminants, which can affect their fertility. With the utilisation of FORMICA the risk of undesirable influences on animals can nearly be excluded, even with a high forage proportion of red clover. The remaining agronomic characteristics of FORMICA conform to the persistent "Mattenklee" type.However, the productivity of the variety can decrease in the second harvest year, especially with the appearance of anthracnose (Colletotrichum trifolii).

Descent

Base material

Selection of landraces (collections 1970-1972). Selected on a reduced content of formonenetin.

M0 seed

Row trials 1988 and 1990 with seed harvest on spaced plants progenies.

Literature

Suter D.,Hirschi H.-U.,Frick R., 2019. Rotklee unter der Lupe: Ergebnisse der Sortenprüfung 2016-2018. Agrarforschung Schweiz 10(11), 454-461 Suter D.,Frick R.,Hirschi H.-U.,Aebi P., 2014. Sortenprüfung mit Rotklee: deutliche Fortschritte. Agrarforschung Schweiz 5(7), 272-279

Version: 14.07.2021

Publisher: Agroscope, Reckenholzstrasse 191, 8046 Zürich In Collaboration with: Delley Seeds and Plants Ltd (DSP), 1567 Delley Authors: Christoph Grieder and Peter Tanner, Agroscope Copyright: © 2021, Agroscope



Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra

Swiss Confederation

Federal Department of Economic Affairs, Education and Research EAER Agroscope

FORMICA Red Clover (2n)

Trifolium pratense L.

Fact Sheet

National listing

Situation in Switzerland On the Swiss List of Recommended Varieties of Forage Plants from 1993 to 2021

Further registered in the following countries

Agronomic caracteristics

Results of the official Swiss variety trials 2016-2018 (Suter et al. 2019) (Mattenklee diploid)

General impression3.73.1Juvenile growth3.73.5		FORMICA	Mean
Juvenile growth 3.7 3.5	Yield	6.4	4.2
5	General impression	3.7	3.1
	Juvenile growth	3.7	3.5
Competing ability 5.2 4.4	Competing ability	5.2	4.4
Persistence 6.4 4.7	Persistence	6.4	4.7
Resistance to winter conditions4.03.5	Resistance to winter conditions	4.0	3.5
Resistance to Anthracnose4.52.6	Resistance to Anthracnose	4.5	2.6
Resistance to leafspots an rust3.32.6	Resistance to leafspots an rust	3.3	2.6
Index (weighted average of all notes)4.83.6	Index (weighted average of all notes)	4.8	3.6

Scoring scale	1 = very good; 5 = medium; 9 = very poor
Yield	Mean of 5 experimental sites over 2 years
Mean	Mean value of standard varieties

Description according to UPOV gidelines

DUS test conducted at Scharnhorst, BSA (DEU), 1995-1997

UPOV No	Characteristics	State of expression	Note
2	Ploidy	diploid	2
5	Plant: natural height in the year of sowing	tall	7
6	Leaf: color in the year of sowing	medium green to dark green	6
9	Plant: natural height in spring	medium to tall	6
10	Leaf: Color in spring	medium green	5
11	Time of flowering	very early to early	2
12	Stem: length	short	3
14	Stem: number of internodes	low to medium	4
16	Leaf: shape of medial leaflet	ovate	2